



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CARL MITCHELL, et al.,

PLAINTIFFS,

v.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,

DEFENDANTS.

CASE NO. CV16-01750 SJO (JPRx)
[Assigned to the Honorable S. James Otero, Courtroom 10C]

**ORDER RE: UNOPPOSED
REQUEST TO CONTINUE
HEARING DATE OF THE CITY OF
LOS ANGELES' MOTION FOR
CLARIFICATION OF ORDER**

Date: April 24, 2017

Time: 10 a.m.

Place: Courtroom 10C

Plaintiffs have submitted an Unopposed Request to Continue the City's Motion for Clarification.

1. The City filed a Motion for Clarification of the Court's preliminary injunction order of April 13, 2016. The motion was originally set for July 25, 2016.
2. The parties represent to the Court that, over the past several months, they engaged in several mediation sessions on the City's concerns underlying the motion on multiple dates, beginning on May 9, 2016,

1 before the Honorable Carla Woehrle and that progress was made in
2 those sessions.

3 3. Each of the parties has engaged in separate discussions with former
4 Magistrate Judge Woehrle and has provided written responses to the
5 issues under discussion. They are presently awaiting a meeting date to
6 be set by Judge Woehrle.
7

8 4. Plaintiffs' opposition to the motion is currently due April 3, 2017.
9 Plaintiffs request that the motion hearing date be continued. Plaintiffs
10 inform the Court that they consulted the City before filing the current
11 request and that the City, as communicated by Deputy City Attorney
12 Eric Brown, has no objection to the requested extension.
13

14 5. Plaintiffs request that the current hearing date be continued from the
15 current date to June 5, 2017 to allow the mediation discussions to
16 continue.
17

18 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the Court grants the unopposed request. The
19 hearing on Defendants' Motion for Clarification is continued to June 5, 2017.
20

21
22 Dated: 4/4/17

S. James Otew

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE